# IN112: FOL semantics

Author : Christophe Garion <garion@isae.fr> Audience : SUPAERO 1A Date :



## 1. Natural language sentences modelling

Use fist-order logic to model the following declarative sentences. In each case, define precisely the signature of your language.

- 1. every rose is a flower
- 2. no rose is a flower
- 3. some roses are flowers
- 4. some roses are not flowers
- 5. George is french, John is english and they are friends
- 6. all rats and all mice are gray
- 7. all giraffes are taller than all rats
- 8. cats and dogs are mammals
- 9. romans and greeks were enemies
- 10. who likes David likes also Tom
- 11. David only likes one person
- 12. everybody has a father and a mother

#### 2. Maths, again and again

Let E be a set. Model the following mathematical notions using a first-order language. Define precisely the signature of your language.

- (a) = define the "classical" equality relation on E
- (b)  $\leq$  is a preorder on *E*
- (c) (E, .) is a monoid

### 3. Skolemization

Give a Skolem standard form of the following formulas:

- $\forall x \ (H(x) \rightarrow ((\exists y \ F(x, y)) \land (\exists z \ M(x, z))).$
- $(\forall x \ P(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x \ Q(x))$
- $\forall x \forall y \ (\exists u \ Q(x, y, u) \lor \neg (\exists z \ P(x, z) \land P(y, z)))$



The following exercises will not be done during lecture.

### 4. Connectors and interpretations

Let  $L_{FOL}$  be a first-order language, P and Q be two predicate symbols and I be an interpretation. Prove that :

- 1.  $\models_I \forall x \ P(x)$  iff for all  $d \in D_I \ \langle d \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(P)$
- 2.  $\models_I \exists x \ P(x)$  iff there is  $d \in D_I$  such that  $\langle d \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(P)$ ;
- 3.  $\models_I \forall x \ P(x) \rightarrow \exists y \ Q(x, y) \text{ iff for all } d \in D_I \ \langle d \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(P) \Rightarrow \text{ there is } d' \in D_I \ \langle d, d' \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(Q).$

#### 5. Socrate

Show in model theory and using a first-order language that the following argument is valid:

- every human is mortal
- Socrate is human

• therefore Socrate is mortal

# 6. Socrate (again)

Show in model theory and using a first-order language that the following argument is valid:

- every human is mortal
- Socrate is human
- Socrate is not mortal
- therefore humans are not mortals

# 7. A horse story

Show that the following set of sentences is not contradictory:

- what is rare is expensive
- a 1€ horse is rare
- a  $1 \in$  horse is not expensive

Show that if the sentence "there is a  $1 \in$  horse" is added to the previous set, then the set is contradictory.